

Serial No. 09/695,898
Page 2 of 2

REMARKS

Applicants' representative wishes to thank Examiner Lee for the courtesy extended during the interview, and acknowledges Examiner's Interview Summary mailed on June 1, 2007.

However, Applicants' representative wishes to address the following sentence in Examiner's statement in the Summary: "However, Examiner pointed out that the current claims do not explicitly state that both video streams must be compressed."

Applicants' representative submits that Applicants' claims do not hinge on both video streams being compressed in order to distinguish from Egawa's teaching.

Instead, Applicants pointed out during the Interview that the two streams in the Egawa reference are already compressed streams, while the second video stream in Applicants' claim 1, prior to encoding, is an uncompressed stream. Examiner Lee then commented that claim 1 does not explicitly recite the second video stream as being an uncompressed stream before encoding.

Respectfully submitted,

6-28-07



Eamon J. Wall, Reg. No. 39,414
Attorney for Applicants
Telephone: 732-530-9404
Facsimile: 732-530-9808

PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP
595 Shrewsbury Avenue, Suite 100
Shrewsbury, New Jersey 07702

584722_1